

Geometric phase in phasing of antenna arrays

Rajendra Bhandari

Raman Research Institute,
Bangalore 560 080, India.
email: bhandari@rri.ernet.in

Abstract

The response of a pair of differently polarized antennas is determined by their polarization states *and* a phase between them which has a geometric part which becomes discontinuous at singular points in the parameter space. Some consequences are described.

Submitted for Proceedings, IAU199 Symposium : The Universe at low radio frequencies, Pune, India, Nov.30-Dec.4, 1999.

Introduction : The geometric phase (also popularly known as Berry's phase) finds some of its most easily visualizable manifestations in the physics of polarized light [1]. In 1956, Pancharatnam defined the 'in-phase' condition for two different, non-orthogonal polarization states to be one for which their interference yields maximum intensity and discovered that under a cycle of transformations of the polarization state along a closed geodesic polygon on the Poincaré sphere (PS) the beam acquires a phase equal to half the solid angle subtended by the polygon at the centre. Further work by the present author has shown that the above geometric phase exhibits measurable jumps at singular points in the parameter space such that a circuit around the singularity results in a measurable phase shift equal to $2n\pi$ [2, 1]. The flat behaviour of the phase near a singularity has been used in adaptive optics to make a spatial light modulator for pure intensity modulation, keeping phase constant [3]. In arrays phased by geometric phase shifters [4], phase singularities lead to the possibility of an array looking in two different directions at two different wavelengths [5].

Figure 1: Two elliptically polarized antennas in states A and P, in phase when $\phi = 0$, get out of phase when $\phi \neq 0$, by an amount shown in the curves on the right.

A pair of antennas with different polarization : Take two identical elliptically polarized antennas, in phase with each other, so that their resultant intensity response is maximum ‘on-axis’. Now rotate one of the antennas with respect to the other by an angle $\phi/2$ (figure 1). The two will no longer be in phase in that their combined response will not be maximum ‘on-axis’. The phase difference ψ between them (Pancharatnam), given by $\tan\psi = \cos\theta \tan(\phi/2)$, is shown in figure 1 for a few values of the polar angle θ of the states on the PS. Note the phase shift (i) is of magnitude π for a 2π rotation on the PS and (ii) jumps through $\pm\pi$ near $\theta = 90^\circ$, $\phi = 180^\circ$ (as happens for 2π rotation in real space of particles with odd half integer spin, verified in analogous polarization experiments in an optical interferometer [6]). We note that a similar behaviour is implicit in the special case $\theta_1 = \theta_2$, $Q=V=U=0$ of equation (8) in Morris, Radhakrishnan Seielstad [7] ¹.

Interference nulls for non orthogonal states: When radiation from a partially polarized source with degree of polarization p and eigenpolarizations P and $\tilde{P}$ is picked up by two antennas tuned to polarizations A_1 and A_2 , then for every polarization state A_1 , there is a state A_2 , *not orthogonal to* A_1 , such that the correlation of the two outputs is zero [10]. A simple way to prove this curious result is to consider a superposition of two interference patterns; (i) due to a fraction $(1 + p)/2$ of the radiation in state P and (ii) due to a fraction $(1 - p)/2$ in state $\tilde{P}$, with a phase difference of magnitude π , which is a geometric phase due to the surface enclosed by the closed geodesic curve $P A_1 \tilde{P} A_2 P$ on the PS (a hemisphere).

¹This equation has been re-derived by Weiler [8] and Nityananda [9].

References

- [1] Bhandari R. 1997, Phys. Rep., 281, 1
- [2] Bhandari R. 1991, Phys. Lett. A, 157, 221
- [3] Love G. D., Gourlay J. 1996, Opt. Lett., 21, 1496
- [4] Fox A. G. 1947, Proc. I.R.E., 35, 1489
- [5] Bhandari R. 1995, Phys. Lett. A, 204, 188
- [6] Bhandari R. 1993, Phys. Lett. A, 180, 15
- [7] Morris D., Radhakrishnan V., Seielstad G. A. 1964, Ap.J., 139, 551
- [8] Weiler K. W. 1973, Astron. Astrophys., 26, 403
- [9] Nityananda R. 1994, Current Science, 67, 243
- [10] Radhakrishnan V. 1994, Current Science, 67, 257

This figure "r1.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0003396v1>